

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/558,871 | 04/28/2000 | John F. Norris | P-8873 | 3151 |
| 27581 75 | 90 10/16/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| MEDTRONIC | • | | DROESCH, KRISTEN L | |
| 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE MS-LC340 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604 | | | 3762 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003 | r |
| | | | | |
| | | · • | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 9 | | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/558, 871 | NORRIS ET AL. | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Kristen L Droesch | 3762 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clain | ms. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | etion(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2-8,10,13-15</u> , separate, timely filed amendment canceling the n | | allowable if submitt | ed in a | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bel | o)□ will be entered ow or appended. | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | 2V V2 UE (| | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 3, 7, 8, 10-14, 17, 18, 22, 2 | 3, 25-30, 35, 36, 38-45, 43, 4 | 16,50,31,35,38 | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 4-6, 9, 15, 16, 19 - 20 | , 24, 31 - 34, 37, 43, 44, 47 | 1-49,52 | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disap | proved by the Exan | niner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | 1/20/11 | | | | | |
| Krister Droed | <i></i> | KENNEDYSCHA PRIMARY EXAM | ETZLE MINER | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Advis | sory Action | 16-115-0 | art of Paper No. 13 | | | | |